arXiv:1003.3302v1 [math.CV] 17 Mar 2010

ON A SPACE OF RAPIDLY DECREASING INFINITELY DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS ON AN UNBOUNDED CONVEX SET IN $\mathbb{R}^n$ AND ITS DUAL [1]

I.Kh. MUSIN, P.V. YAKOVLEVA

Abstract. Description of linear continuous functionals on a space of rapidly decreasing infinitely differentiable functions on an unbounded closed convex set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ in terms of their Fourier-Laplace transform is obtained.

Keywords: tempered distributions, ultradistributions, Fourier-Laplace transform, holomorphic functions, tube domains.

MSC-class: 46F05, 32A10.

1. Introduction

1.1. On a problem. Let C be an open convex acute cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the apex at the origin [1, p. 73] and b be a convex continuous positively homogeneous function of degree 1 on the closure $\overline{C}$ of C in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The pair (b, C) determines the closed convex unbounded set

$$U(b, C) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ - < \xi, y > \le b(y), \forall y \in C\},$$

not containing a whole line. Note that the interior of the set $U(b, C)$ is not empty and coincides with the set

$$V(b, C) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ - < \xi, y > < b(y), \forall y \in \overline{C}\},$$

and closure of $V(b, C)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is $U(b, C)$. For brevity denote $U(b, C)$ by U and $V(b, C)$ by V.

Let $M = (M_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a non-decreasing sequence of numbers M_k such that $M_0 = 1$ and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\ln M_k}{k} = +\infty.$$

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ let $G_{m,\varepsilon}(U)$ be the space of $C^{\infty}(U)$-functions f with a finite norm

$$p_{m,\varepsilon}(f) = \sup_{x\in V, \alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{|(D^{\alpha} f)(x)|(1 + \|x\|)^m}{\varepsilon^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} .$$

---

[1] This work was supported by the grants RFBR 08-01-00779, 08-01-97023 and LSS-3081.2008.1.

Put $G_M(U) = \bigcap\limits_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap\limits_{\varepsilon>0} G_{m,\varepsilon}(U)$. Thus, $G_M(U)$ is a subclass of the Schwartz class $S(U)$ of rapidly decreasing functions on U.

With usual operations of addition and multiplication by complex numbers $G_M(U)$ becomes a linear space. The family of norms $p_{m,\varepsilon}$ defines a locally convex topology in $G_M(U)$. Note that if $(\varepsilon_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is an arbitrary decreasing to zero sequence of numbers $\varepsilon_m > 0$ then the topology in $G_M(U)$ can be defined also by the system of norms

$$p_m(f) = \sup_{x \in V, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{|(D^\alpha f)(x)|(1 + \|x\|)^m}{\varepsilon_m^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}}\ ,\ m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Obviously, $G_M(U)$ is continuously embedded in $S(U)$.

We consider a problem of description of the strong dual space to the spaces $G_M(U)$ in terms of the Fourier-Laplace transform of continuous linear functionals on $G_M(U)$.

Detailed consideration of this problem depends on additional conditions on the sequence M. J.W. de Roever [2] studied this problem under the following assumptions on M:

1). $M_k^2 \le M_{k-1} M_{k+1}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$;

2). $\exists H_1 > 1\ \exists H_2 > 1 \quad \forall k, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad M_{k+m} \le H_1 H_2^{k+m} M_k M_m$;

3). $\exists A > 0 \ \ \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \ \ \sum\limits_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \dfrac{M_{k-1}}{M_k} \le Am \dfrac{M_m}{M_{m+1}}$.

In this case the sequence M is not quasianalytic. Also from conditions 1) and 3) it follows that there exist numbers $h_1, h_2 > 0$ such that

$$M_k \ge h_1 h_2^k k!,\ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

The same problem was considered in [3] under more weak restrictions on M. Namely, conditions 2) and 3) were replaced with the following conditions:

2)$'$. $\exists H_1 > 1\ \exists H_2 > 1 \ \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \ \ M_{k+1} \le H_1 H_2^k M_k$;

3)$'$. $\exists Q_1 > 0\ \exists Q_2 > 0 \ \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \ \ M_k \ge Q_1 Q_2^k k!$.

But the proof of the Paley-Winer type theorem for ultradistributions was given for function b satisfying the following condition: there is a positive number r such that if $y_1, y_2 \in C$ and $\|y_2 - y_1\| \le 1$ then $|b(y_2) - b(y_1)| \le r$.

In this paper we consider the problem in general case. As in [3] we use an approach from B.A. Taylor's paper [4].

1.2. Definitions and notations. For $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m(\mathbb{C}^m)$, $v = (v_1, \dots, v_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m(\mathbb{C}^m)$ let $< u, v >= u_1 v_1 + \dots + u_m v_m$, $\|u\|$ be the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^m(\mathbb{C}^m)$.

For $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $R > 0$ let $B_R(z)$ be a ball in $\mathbb{C}^m$ of a radius R with the center at the point z. Let $\nu_m(R) = \nu_m(1)R^{2m}$ be a volume of $B_R(z)$.

If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ then $T_\Omega = \mathbb{R}^m + i\Omega$. If $\mathit{\Gamma}$ is a cone in $\mathbb{R}^m$ then as usual $pr\mathit{\Gamma}$ is an intersection of $\mathit{\Gamma}$ with the unit sphere.

If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ ($\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^m$) then the distance from $x \in \Omega$ ($z \in \Omega$) to the boundary of Ω is denoted by $\Delta_\Omega(x)(\Delta_\Omega(z))$.

For a locally convex space X let X' be the space of linear continuous functionals on X and let X^* be the strong dual space.

For an open set Ω in $\mathbb{C}^m$ $H(\Omega)$ is a space of holomorphic functions in Ω, $psh(\Omega)$ is a family of plurisubharmonic functions in Ω.

If $L = (L_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ is a sequence of numbers $L_k > 0$ with $L_0 = 1$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\ln L_k}{k} = +\infty$$

then define a function ω_L on $[0, \infty)$: $\omega_L(r) = \sup\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \ln \dfrac{r^k}{L_k}$ for $r > 0$, $\omega_L(0) = 0$.

1.3. Main result. Throughout this article the non-decreasing sequence M with $M_0 = 1$ satisfies the following conditions:

i_1). $M_k^2 \le M_{k-1}M_{k+1},\ k \in \mathbb{N}$;

i_2). $\exists H_1 > 1\ \exists H_2 > 1\ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\ \ M_{k+1} \le H_1 H_2^k M_k$;

i_3). $\exists Q_1 > 0\ \exists Q_2 > 0\ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\ \ M_k \ge Q_1 Q_2^k k!$.

Let $S(U)$ be a space of $C^\infty(U)$-functions f such that for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$\|f\|_{p,U} = \sup_{x\in V, |\alpha|\le p} |(D^\alpha f)(x)|(1 + \|x\|)^p < \infty.$$

Let $S_p(U)$ be a completion of $S(U)$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,U}$. Endow $S(U)$ with a topology of projective limit of spaces $S_p(U)$. Note that $S^*(U)$ is topologically isomorphic to the space of tempered distributions with support in U [5].

If decreasing to zero sequence $(\varepsilon_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ of numbers ε_m is chosen then for brevity denote $\omega_M(\frac{r}{\varepsilon_m})$ by $\omega_m(r),\ r \ge 0$.

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$V_{b,m}(T_C) = \{f \in H(T_C) : N_m(f) = \sup_{z\in T_C} \frac{|f(z)|e^{-b(y)}}{(1 + \|z\|)^m(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)})^m} < \infty\},$$

$$H_{b,m}(T_C) = \{F \in H(T_C) : \|F\|_m = \sup_{z\in T_C} \frac{|F(z)|}{e^{b(y)+\omega_m(\|z\|)}(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)})^m} < \infty\}\ ,$$

where $z = x + iy, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in C$.

Put $H_{b,M}(T_C) = \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty H_{b,m}(T_C)$, $V_b(T_C) = \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty V_{b,m}(T_C)$. With usual operations of addition and multiplication by complex numbers $H_{b,M}(T_C)$ and

$V_b(T_C)$ are linear spaces. Supply $H_{b,M}(T_C)$ $(V_b(T_C))$ with the topology of inductive limit of spaces $H_{b,m}(T_C)$ $(V_{b,m}(T_C))$.

The Fourier-Laplace transform of a functional $\Phi \in S^*(U)$ $(\Phi \in G^*_M(U))$ is defined by a formula

$$\hat{\Phi}(z) = (\Phi, e^{i<\xi,z>}), \ z \in T_C.$$

In [3] the following theorem was proved.

Theorem A. *The Fourier-Laplace transform* $\mathcal{F} : S^*(U) \to V_b(T_C)$ *establishes a topological isomorphism between the spaces* $S^*(U)$ *and* $V_b(T_C)$.

If $b(y) = a\|y\| (a \geq 0)$ then it is a result of V.S. Vladimirov [1].

Let $\{C_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ be a family of open convex subcones of C such that if $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$ then $pr\ \overline{C_{\varepsilon_2}} \subset prC_{\varepsilon_1}$ and $\cup_{\varepsilon>0} C_\varepsilon = C$. Let $H_b(T_C)$ be a projective limit of the spaces $V_{b_\varepsilon}(T_C)$, where for $y \in \overline{C}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ $\ b_\varepsilon(y) = b(y) + \varepsilon\|y\|$. Let $H^+_b(T_C)$ be a projective limit of the spaces $H_{b_\varepsilon}(T_{C_\varepsilon})$.

We have three useful consequences from theorem A.

Corollary 1. *The Fourier-Laplace transform* $\mathcal{F} : S^*(U) \to H_b(T_C)$ *establishes a topological isomorphism between the spaces* $S^*(U)$ *and* $H_b(T_C)$.

Corollary 2. *The Fourier-Laplace transform* $\mathcal{F} : S^*(U) \to H^+_b(T_C)$ *establishes a topological isomorphism between the spaces* $S^*(U)$ *and* $H^+_b(T_C)$.

Corollary 3. *The spaces* $V_b(T_C)$, $H_b(T_C)$ *and* $H^+_b(T_C)$ *coincide.*

The Corollary 2 is known [2].

The aim of the paper is to give a full proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *The Fourier-Laplace transform establishes a topological isomorphism between the spaces* $G^*_M(U)$ *and* $H_{b,M}(T_C)$.

Remark 1. The definition of the spaces $G_M(U)$ and $H_{b,M}(T_C)$ does not depend on a choice of the sequence $(\varepsilon_m)_{m=1}^\infty$. So we put $\varepsilon_m = H_2^{-m}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $\omega_m(r) = \omega_M(H_2^m r)$, $r \geq 0$.

2. Auxiliary results

In the proof of theorem 1 the following results will be used.

Lemma 1. *For* $y \in C, m \in \mathbb{N}$ *let*

$$g(\xi) = - < \xi, y > + m \ln(1 + \|\xi\|), \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then there exists a number $d > 0$ *not depending on* y *such that*

$$\sup_{\xi \in U} g(\xi) \leq b(y) + dm + 3m \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right) + 2m \ln(1 + \|y\|).$$

Lemma 1 was proved in [3].

Due to a special choice of a sequence $(\varepsilon_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ the following lemma holds ([6, lemma 2]).

Lemma 2. *For each $m, p \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant $c \geq 0$ such that*

$$w_m(r) + p\ln(1+r) \leq w_{m+p}(r) + c, \quad r \geq 0.$$

Also we need a theorem of J.W. de Roever [2].

Theorem R. *Let a $n-k$ dimensional hyperplane in $\mathbb{C}^n$ be given by linear functions $\theta_1 = s_1(\theta_{k+1}, \ldots, \theta_n), \ldots, \theta_k = s_k(\theta_{k+1}, \ldots, \theta_n)$ or shortly $w = s(z), w \in \mathbb{C}^k, z \in \mathbb{C}^{n-k}$.*

Let $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \subset \Omega$ be pseudoconvex domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that an $\varepsilon > 0$-neighborhood of Ω_1 with respect to closed polydiscs in the first k coordinates is contained in Ω_2, i.e.,

$\{\theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) : |\theta_j - \theta_j^0| \leq \varepsilon, j = 1, \ldots, k; \theta_j = \theta_j^0, j = k+1, \ldots, n; \theta^0 = (\theta_1^0, \ldots, \theta_n^0) \in \Omega_1\} \subset \Omega_2$.

Furthermore, let φ be a plurisubharmonic function on Ω and for $\theta \in \Omega_1$ let $\varphi_\varepsilon(\theta) = \max\{\varphi(\theta_1 + \xi_1, \ldots, \theta_n + \xi_n : |\xi_j| \leq \varepsilon, j = 1, \ldots, k\}$.

Finally, let

$$\Omega' = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n-k} : (s(z), z) \in \Omega\}, \ \Omega'_j = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n-k} : (s(z), z) \in \Omega_j\}, j = 1, 2.$$

And let $\tilde{\varphi}(z)$ be the function in Ω' given by $\tilde{\varphi}(z) = \varphi(s(z), z), \ z \in \Omega'$.

Then for a given holomorphic in Ω' function f there exists a function F holomorphic in Ω_1 such that $F(s(z), z) = f(z), \ z \in \Omega'$, and for some $K > 0$ depending only on k and $s_1, \ldots, s_k$,

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{F(\theta)\exp(-\varphi_\varepsilon(\theta))}{(1 + |\theta\|^2)^{3k}} \, d\lambda_n(\theta) \leq K\varepsilon^{-2k} \int_{\Omega'_2} |f(z)|^2 e^{-\tilde{\varphi}(z)} \, d\lambda_{n-k}(\theta),$$

where λ_n and λ_{n-k} denote the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{C}^n$ or $\mathbb{C}^{n-k}$, respectively, if f is such that the right hand side is finite. F depends besides on f also on $\Omega_1, \varepsilon, \varphi$.

Lemma 3. *Let Γ be an open convex cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the apex at the origin. Let h be a convex continuous positively homogeneous function of degree 1 on $\overline{\Gamma}$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $A_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for $y_1, y_2 \in \Gamma$ satisfying the inequality $\|y_2 - y_1\| \leq 1$*

$$|h(y_2) - h(y_1)| \leq \varepsilon\|y_1\| + \varepsilon\|y_2\| + A_\varepsilon.$$

The proof is easy and so it is omitted.

Let for brevity for $z \in T_C$ $d(z) = \Delta_{T_C}(z)$.

Theorem 2. *Let function* $S \in H(\mathbb{C}^n \times T_C)$ *for some* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *on* $\mathbb{C}^n \times T_C$ *satisfies the inequality*

$$|S(z,\zeta)| \le \exp(\omega_m(\|z\|) + b(Im\zeta) + m\ln(1+\|\zeta\|) + m\ln(1+\frac{1}{d(\zeta)})),$$

and $S(\zeta,\zeta) = 0, \quad \zeta \in T_C$.

Then there exist functions $S_1, \ldots, S_n \in H(\mathbb{C}^n \times T_C)$ *and a number* $p \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that:*

a) $S(z,\zeta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_j(z,\zeta)(z_j - \zeta_j), \quad (z,\zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times T_C$*;*

b) for each $\varepsilon > 0$ *there exists a number* $a_\varepsilon > 0$ *such that for* $j = 1, \ldots, n$ *and* $(z,\zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times T_C$

$$|S_j(z,\zeta)| \le a_\varepsilon \exp(\omega_p(\|z\|) + b(Im\zeta) + \varepsilon\|Im\zeta\| + p\ln(1+\frac{1}{d(\zeta)}) + p\ln(1+\|\zeta\|)).$$

Proof. Let for $\zeta \in T_C, j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\varphi_j(\zeta) = b(Im\zeta) + j\ln((1+\frac{1}{d(\zeta)})(1+\|\zeta\|)),$$

for $\zeta \in T_C, z_1, \ldots, z_k \in \mathbb{C}, l, k, j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$h_{l,k,j}(z_1, \ldots, z_k, \zeta) = \omega_l(\|(z_1+\zeta_1, \ldots, z_k+\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}, \ldots, \zeta_n)\|) + \varphi_j(\zeta).$$

Functions $h_{l,k,j}$ are plurisubharmonic in $\mathbb{C}^k \times T_C$.

Put $L(z,\zeta) = S(z+\zeta,\zeta), \ z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \zeta \in T_C$. By assumption,

$$|L(z,\zeta)| \le \exp(h_{m,n,m}(z,\zeta)), \ (z,\zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times T_C, \tag{1}$$

and $L(0,\zeta) = S(\zeta,\zeta) = 0$ for $\zeta \in T_C$.

We now pass to construction of functions $S_1, \ldots, S_n$. Let $L_1(z_1,\zeta) = L(z_1, 0, \ldots, 0, \zeta), \ z_1 \in \mathbb{C}, \ \zeta \in T_C$; $L_2(z_1, z_2, \zeta) = L(z_1, z_2, 0, \ldots, 0, \zeta), \ z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}, \ \zeta \in T_C; \ldots, \ L_n(z,\zeta) = L(z,\zeta), \ z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \zeta \in T_C$. In view of (1) we have

$$|L_k(z,\zeta)| \le \exp(h_{m,k,m}(z,\zeta)), \ z \in \mathbb{C}^k, \zeta \in T_C. \tag{2}$$

Since $L_1(0,\zeta) = 0 \ \ \forall\zeta \in T_C$, then the function

$$\psi_1^{(1)}(z_1,\zeta) = \frac{L_1(z_1,\zeta)}{z_1}$$

is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \times T_C$. Let us estimate the growth of the function $\psi_1^{(1)}$. If $|z_1| \ge 1$, then $\forall\zeta \in T_C$

$$|\psi_1^{(1)}(z_1,\zeta)| \le |L_1(z_1,\zeta)| \le \exp(h_{m,1,m}(z_1,\zeta)),$$

If $|z_1| < 1$, then $\forall \zeta \in T_C$

$$|\psi_1^{(1)}(z_1, \zeta)| \le \max_{|t_1|=1} |\psi_1^{(1)}(t_1, \zeta)| = \max_{|t_1|=1} |L_1(t_1, \zeta)|.$$

In view of the inequality (2) we have

$$|\psi_1^{(1)}(z_1, \zeta)| \le \exp(\max_{|t_1|=1} h_{m,1,m}(t_1, \zeta)).$$

Recall that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a number $K_m > 0$ (see [6], lemma 1 (in the proof of this lemma only the conditions $i_1), i_3)$ are used) such that

$$|\omega_m(r_2) - \omega_m(r_1)| \le K_m |r_2 - r_1|, \quad r_1, r_2 \ge 0. \tag{3}$$

So, using (3) we obtain

$$|\psi_1^{(1)}(z_1, \zeta)| \le A_1 e^{h_{m,1,m}(z_1,\zeta)}, \ z_1 \in \mathbb{C}, \zeta \in T_C,$$

where $A_1 = e^{2K_m}$. In addition $L_1(z_1, \zeta) = \psi_1^{(1)}(z_1, \zeta) z_1$ everywhere in $\mathbb{C} \times T_C$.

Let for $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, $\zeta \in T_C$

$$\varphi^{(1)}(z_1, \zeta) = 2h_{m,1,m}(z_1, \zeta) + (2n + 3) \ln(1 + \|(z_1, \zeta)\|).$$

Then

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{C} \times T_C} |\psi_1^{(1)}(z_1, \zeta)|^2 e^{-\varphi^{(1)}(z_1,\zeta)} \, d\lambda_{n+1}(z_1, \zeta) < \infty.$$

Suppose that for $k = 2, \dots, n$ there are found functions $\psi_j^{(k-1)}$ holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}^{k-1} \times T_C$ $(j = 1, \dots, k-1)$ such that

$$L_{k-1}(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, \zeta) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \psi_j^{(k-1)}(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, \zeta) z_j$$

and for some $d_{k-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $j = 1, \dots, k-1$

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^{k-1} \times T_C} |\psi_j^{(k-1)}(z, \zeta)|^2 e^{-(2h_{m,k-1,m}(z,\zeta) + d_{k-1} \ln(1 + \|(z,\zeta)\|))} < \infty. \tag{4}$$

Now we use theorem R and notations of this theorem. Let us consider in $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^n$ the subspace

$$W_k = \{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k, \zeta) : z_k = 0, z_1, \dots, z_{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$$

of codimension 1. Put $\Omega = \Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = \mathbb{C}^k \times T_C$. Then

$$\Omega' = \{(z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1}, \zeta) : (z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1}, 0, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times T_C\} = \mathbb{C}^{k-1} \times T_C.$$

So $\Omega_1' = \Omega_2' = \Omega'$. Put

$$\varphi^{(k)}(z, \zeta) = 2h_{m,k,m}(z, \zeta) + d_{k-1} \ln(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|),$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}^k, \zeta \in T_C$. In this theorem for ε we take 1. Let

$$\varphi_1^{(k)}(z_1, \ldots, z_k, \zeta) = \max_{|\xi_k| \le 1} \varphi^{(k)}(z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1}, z_k + \xi_k, \zeta).$$

Then

$$\widetilde{\varphi}^{(k)}(z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1}, \zeta) = \varphi^{(k)}(z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1}, 0, \zeta) =$$
$$= 2h_{m,k-1,m}(z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1}, \zeta) + d_{k-1} \ln(1 + \|(z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1}, \zeta)\|)],$$

where $z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}, \zeta \in T_C$. In view of (4)

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^{k-1} \times T_C} |\psi_j^{(k-1)}(z, \zeta)|^2 e^{-\widetilde{\varphi}^{(k)}(z,\zeta)} \, d\lambda_{k-1+n}(z, \zeta) < \infty, \ j = 1, \ldots, k-1.$$

By Roever's theorem there exists a function $\psi_j^{(k)}$ $(j = 1, \ldots, k-1)$ holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}^k \times T_C$ such that

$$\psi_j^{(k)}(z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1}, 0, \zeta) = \psi_j^{(k-1)}(z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1}, \zeta), \ z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}, \zeta \in T_C,$$

and for some $K_j^{(k-1)} > 0$ not depending on $\psi_j^{(k-1)}$

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^k \times T_C} \frac{|\psi_j^{(k)}(z, \zeta)|^2 e^{-\varphi_1^{(k)}(z,\zeta)}}{(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|^2)^3} \, d\lambda_{n+k}(z, \zeta) \le$$

$$\le K_j^{(k-1)} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{k-1} \times T_C} |\psi_j^{(k-1)}(z', \zeta)|^2 e^{-\widetilde{\varphi}^{(k)}(z',\zeta)} \, d\lambda_{n+k-1}(z', \zeta).$$

Using (3) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^k \times T_C} \frac{|\psi_j^{(k)}(z, \zeta)|^2 e^{-\varphi^{(k)}(z,\zeta)}}{(1 + \|(z, \zeta)\|^2)^3} \, d\lambda_{n+k}(z, \zeta) < \infty \ , j = 1, \ldots, k-1.$$

Now consider the function

$$V_k(z, \zeta) = L_k(z, \zeta) - \psi_1^{(k)}(z, \zeta) z_1 - \ldots - \psi_{k-1}^{(k)}(z, \zeta) z_{k-1}, \ z \in \mathbb{C}^k, \zeta \in T_C.$$

It is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}^k \times T_C$. Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^k \times T_C} \frac{|V_k(z,\zeta)|^2 e^{-\varphi^{(k)}(z,\zeta)}}{(1+\|(z,\zeta)\|^2)^4}\, d\lambda_{n+k}(z,\zeta) < \infty.$$

Since for $z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}, \zeta \in T_C \ \ V_k(z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1}, 0, \zeta) = 0$, then the function

$$\psi_k^{(k)}(z,\zeta) = \frac{V_k(z,\zeta)}{z_k}$$

is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}^k \times T_C$.

Put $\gamma^{(k)}(z,\zeta) = \varphi^{(k)}(z,\zeta) + 8\ln(1+\|(z,\zeta)\|)$. Obviously,

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^{k-1} \times \{|z_k|>1\} \times T_C} |\psi_k^{(k)}(z,\zeta)|^2 e^{-\gamma^{(k)}(z,\zeta)}\, d\lambda_{n+k}(z,\zeta) < \infty.$$

Let $|z_k| \le 1$. Then for $z = (z', z_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k, \zeta \in T_C$

$$|\psi_k^{(k)}(z', z_k, \zeta)|^2 \le \max_{|\eta|=1} |V_k(z', \eta, \zeta)|^2 \le \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|t|\le 2} |V_k(z', t, \zeta)|^2\, d\lambda_1(t).$$

Hence,

$$|\psi_k^{(k)}(z', z_k, \zeta)|^2 e^{-\gamma^{(k)}(z', z_k, \zeta)} \le \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|t|\le 2} |V_k(z', t, \zeta)|^2 e^{-\gamma^{(k)}(z', z_k, \zeta)}\, d\lambda_1(t).$$

Further,

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^{k-1} \times T_C} |\psi_k^{(k)}(z', z_k, \zeta)|^2 e^{-\gamma^{(k)}(z', z_k, \zeta)}\, d\lambda_{n+k-1}(z', \zeta) \le$$

$$\le \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|t|\le 2} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{k-1} \times T_C} |V_k(z', t, \zeta)|^2 e^{-\gamma^{(k)}(z', z_k, \zeta)}\, d\lambda_{n+k-1}(z', \zeta)\, d\lambda_1(t).$$

Since for $z = (z', z_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k, \zeta \in T_C,\ |z_k| \le 1, |t| \le 2$

$$|\gamma^{(k)}(z', t, \zeta) - \gamma^{(k)}(z', z_k, \zeta)| \le 6K_{m_{k-1}} + 3(d_{k-1} + 8),$$

then, putting $b_{k-1} = 6K_m + 3(d_{k-1} + 8)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^{k-1} \times T_C} |\psi_k^{(k)}(z', z_k, \zeta)|^2 e^{-\gamma^{(k)}(z', z_k, \zeta)}\, d\lambda_{n+k-1}(z', \zeta) \le$$

$$\le \frac{e^{b_{k-1}}}{\pi} \int_{|t|\le 2} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{k-1} \times T_C} |V_k(z', t, \zeta)|^2 e^{-\gamma^{(k)}(z', t, \zeta)}\, d\lambda_{n+k-1}(z', \zeta)\, d\lambda_1(t).$$

From here it follows that

$$\int_{|z_k|\le 1}\int_{\mathbb{C}^{k-1}\times T_C} |\psi_k^{(k)}(z', z_k, \zeta)|^2 e^{-\gamma^{(k)}(z',z_k,\zeta)}\ d\lambda_{n+k-1}(z',\zeta)\ d\lambda_1(z_k) \le$$

$$\le e^{b_{k-1}} \int_{|t|\le 2}\int_{\mathbb{C}^{k-1}\times T_C} |V_k(z', t, \zeta)|^2 e^{-\gamma^{(k)}(z',t,\zeta)}\ d\lambda_{n+k-1}(z',\zeta)\ d\lambda_1(t).$$

Finally,

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^k\times T_C} |\psi_k^{(k)}(z,\zeta)|^2 e^{-\gamma^{(k)}(z,\zeta)}\ d\lambda_{n+k}(z,\zeta) \le$$

$$\le 2e^{b_{k-1}} \int_{\mathbb{C}^k\times T_C} |V_k(z,\zeta)|^2 e^{-\gamma^{(k)}(z,\zeta)}\ d\lambda_{n+k}(z,\zeta).$$

Thus, there are functions $\psi_j^{(k)} \in H(\mathbb{C}^k \times T_C)$ $(j = 1, \ldots, k)$ such that

$$L_k(z_1, \ldots, z_k, \zeta) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \psi_j^k(z_1, \ldots, z_k, \zeta) z_j.$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^k\times T_C} |\psi_j^{(k)}(z,\zeta)|^2 e^{-\gamma^{(k)}(z,\zeta)}\ d\lambda_{n+k}(z,\zeta) < \infty,$$

Putting $d_k = d_{k-1} + 8$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^k\times T_C} |\psi_j^{(k)}(z,\zeta)|^2 e^{-(2h_{m,k,m}(z,\zeta)+d_k \ln(1+\|(z,\zeta)\|))}\ d\lambda_{n+k}(z,\zeta) < \infty,\ j = 1, \ldots, k.$$

If $k = n$, then we have

$$L_n(z,\zeta) = L(z,\zeta) = \psi_1^{(n)}(z,\zeta) z_1 + \ldots + \psi_n^{(n)}(z,\zeta) z_n$$

and for some $d_n \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$

$$C_{j,n} := \int_{\mathbb{C}^n\times T_C} |\psi_j^{(n)}(z,\zeta)|^2 e^{-(2h_{m,n,m}(z,\zeta)+d_n \ln(1+\|(z,\zeta)\|))}\ d\lambda_{n+k}(z,\zeta) < \infty.$$

Let us obtain uniform estimates of functions $\psi_j^{(n)}$. Let $(z,\zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times T_C$. Put $R = \min(1, \frac{d(\zeta)}{4})$. Let $(t,u) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times T_C$ belongs to the ball $B_R((z,\zeta))$. Then

$$|h_{m,n,m}(t,u) - h_{m,n,m}(z,\zeta)| \le |w_m(\|(t+u)\|) - w_m(\|(z+\zeta)\|)| + |\varphi_m(u) - \varphi_m(\xi)|.$$

The first term from the right is estimated as follows (using (3)):

$$|w_m(\|(t+u)\|) - w_m(\|(z+\zeta)\|)| \le K_m(\|t-z\| + \|u-\zeta\|) \le 2K_m.$$

Furthermore,

$$|\varphi_m(u) - \varphi_m(\zeta)| \le |b(Imu) - b(Im\zeta)| + m|\ln(1 + \frac{1}{d(u)}) - \ln(1 + \frac{1}{d(\zeta)})| +$$

$$+m|\ln(1 + \|u\|) - \ln(1 + \|\zeta\|)|.$$

Note that

$$\left|\ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{d(u)}\right) - \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{d(\zeta)}\right)\right| = \left|\ln\frac{(1 + d(u))d(\zeta)}{(1 + d(\zeta))d(u)}\right| \le$$

$$\le \left|\ln\frac{4(1 + d(u))}{3(1 + d(\zeta))}\right| \le \left|\ln\left(1 + \frac{d(u) - d(\zeta)}{1 + d(\zeta)}\right)\right| + 1 \le$$

$$\le \left|\frac{d(u) - d(\zeta)}{1 + d(\zeta)}\right| + 1 \le \frac{5}{4}.$$

Besides that,

$$|\ln(1 + \|u\|) - \ln(1 + \|\zeta\|)| \le \|u - \zeta\| \le 1.$$

By lemma 3 for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a constant $A_\varepsilon > 0$ (not depending on ζ) such that

$$|b(Imu) - b(Im\zeta)| \le \varepsilon\|Imu\| + \varepsilon\|Im\zeta\| + A_\varepsilon.$$

So we have

$$|b(Imu) - b(Im\zeta)| \le 2\varepsilon\|Im\zeta\| + A_\varepsilon + \varepsilon.$$

Thus, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all $u, \zeta \in T_C$ such that $\|u - \zeta\| \le R$

$$|\varphi_m(u) - \varphi_m(\zeta)| \le 2\varepsilon\|Im\zeta\| + A_\varepsilon + \varepsilon + \frac{9m}{4}.$$

Let $c_\varepsilon = A_\varepsilon + \varepsilon + \frac{9m}{4} + 2K_m$. Then for each $(t, u) \in B_R((z, \zeta))$

$$|h_{m,n,m}(t, u) - h_{m,n,m}(z, \zeta)| \le 2\varepsilon\|Im\zeta\| + c_\varepsilon. \tag{5}$$

For a plurisubharmonic in $\mathbb{C}^n \times T_C$ function $|\psi_j^{(n)}(t, u)|^2$ we have

$$|\psi_j^{(n)}(z, \zeta)|^2 \le \frac{1}{\nu_{2n}(R)} \int\limits_{B_R((z,\zeta))} |\psi_j^{(n)}(t, u)|^2 \, d\lambda_{2n}(t, u) \le$$

$$\le \frac{1}{\nu_{2n}(R)} \int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^n \times T_C} |\psi_j^{(n)}(t, u)|^2 e^{-(2h_{m,n,m}(t,u) + d_n \ln(1 + \|(t,u)\|))} \, d\lambda_{2n}(t, u) \times$$

$$\times e^{\sup\limits_{(t,u)\in B_R((z,\zeta))}(2h_{m,n,m}(t,u)+d_n\ln(1+\|(t,u)\|))} \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{C_{j,n}}{\nu_{2n}(R)}(2+\|(z,\zeta)\|)^{d_n} e^{\sup\limits_{(t,u)\in B_R((z,\zeta))}(2h_{m,n,m}(t,u))}$$

Using (5) for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we find a constant $b_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$|\psi_j^{(n)}(z,\zeta)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\nu_{2n}(R)} C_{j,n} b_\varepsilon (2+\|(z,\zeta)\|)^{d_n} e^{2h_{m,n,m}(z,\zeta)+2\varepsilon\|Im\zeta\|}.$$

Thus, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a constant $M_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$|\psi_j^{(n)}(z,\zeta)| \leq M_\varepsilon \left(1+\frac{1}{d(\zeta)}\right)^{2n} (1+\|(z,\zeta)\|)^{d_n} e^{h_{m,n,m}(z,\zeta)+\varepsilon\|Im\zeta\|}.$$

From here it follows that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find a constant $L_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$|\psi_j^{(n)}(z,\zeta)| \leq L_\varepsilon \left(1+\frac{1}{d(\zeta)}\right)^{2n} (1+\|(z+\zeta,\zeta)\|)^{d_n}(1+\|\zeta\|)^{d_n} e^{h_{m,n,m}(z,\zeta)+\varepsilon\|Im\zeta\|}.$$

Let $t_n = \max(d_n, 2n), p = m + t_n$. Then, by lemma 2 for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we find a constant $a_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$|\psi_j^{(n)}(z,\zeta)| \leq a_\varepsilon e^{h_{p,n,p}(z,\zeta)+\varepsilon\|Im\zeta\|}.$$

Put $S_j(z,\zeta) = \psi_j^{(n)}(u-\zeta,\zeta)$. Then $S_j \in H(\mathbb{C}^n \times T_C)$,

$$S(z,\zeta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_j(z,\zeta)(z_j-\zeta_j),\ z\in\mathbb{C}^n, \zeta\in T_C,$$

and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all $(z,\zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times T_C$

$$|S_j(z,\zeta)| \leq a_\varepsilon \exp(\omega_p(\|z\|)+b(Im\zeta)+\varepsilon\|Im\zeta\|+p\ln(1+\frac{1}{d(\zeta)})+p\ln(1+\|\zeta\|)).$$

3. Proof of theorem 1

Let

$$C_m(U) = \{f \in C(U) : \widetilde{p}_m(f) = \sup_{x\in U}|f(x)|(1+\|x\|)^m < \infty\},\ m\in\mathbb{N}.$$

By standard scheme ([4, Propositions 2.10, 2.11, Corollary 2.12]) one can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let $T \in G'_M(U)$ and numbers $c > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that*

$$|(T, f)| \le c p_m(f), \;\; f \in G_M(U).$$

Then there exist functionals $T_\alpha \in C'_m(U)$ $(\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+)$ such that

$$|(T_\alpha, f)| \le \frac{C\widetilde{p}_m(f)}{\varepsilon_m^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} \;, \;\; f \in C_m(U),$$

and $(T, f) = \sum\limits_{|\alpha| \ge 0} (T_\alpha, D^\alpha f), \;\; f \in G_M(U).$

Lemma 5. *Let $S \in G'_M(U)$. Then $\hat{S} \in H_{b,M}(T_C)$.*

Proof. First note that for each $z = x + iy \in T_C$ $(x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in C)$ the function $f_z(\xi) = e^{i<\xi,z>}$ belongs to $G_M(U)$. Indeed, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$p_m(f_z) = \sup_{\xi \in V, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+} \frac{|(iz)^\alpha e^{i<\xi,z>}|(1 + \|\xi\|)^m}{\varepsilon_m^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} \le$$

$$\le \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+} \frac{\|z\|^{|\alpha|}}{\varepsilon_m^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} \sup_{\xi \in V} e^{-<\xi,y>+m\ln(1+\|\xi\|)} = e^{\omega_m(\|z\|) + \sup\limits_{\xi \in V}(-<\xi,y>+m\ln(1+\|\xi\|))}.$$

Using lemma 1 and 2, we get

$$p_m(f_z) \le A e^{b(y) + \omega_{3m}(\|z\|)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^{3m} , \tag{6}$$

where $A = e^{dm}$ doesn't depend on $z \in T_C$.

Now let $S \in G'_M(U)$. It is clear that $\hat{S}$ is correctly defined on T_C. Using lemmas 1 and 4, condition i_3) it is easy to see that $\hat{S} \in H(T_C)$.

Since there exist numbers $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$|(S, f)| \le c p_m(f), \;\;\; f \in G_M(U),$$

then (using (6)) we obtain

$$|\hat{S}(z)| \le c A e^{b(y) + \omega_{3m}(\|z\|)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^{3m} .$$

Thus, $\hat{S} \in H_{b,M}(T_C)$ and lemma is proved.

Obviously, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the embeddings $j_m : H_{b,m}(T_C) \to H_{b,m+1}(T_C)$ are completely continuous. Consequently, $H_{b,M}(T_C)$ is an (LN^*)-space.

Proof of theorem 1. By lemma 5 the linear mapping $L : S \in G^*_M(U) \to \hat{S}$ acts from $G^*_M(U)$ into $H_{b,M}(T_C)$.

Before showing the coninuity of L, note that the topology of the space $G^*_M(U)$ can be described as follows. Let for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$W_k = \{f \in G_M(U) : \ p_k(f) \le 1\},$$

$$W_k^0 = \{F \in G'_M(U) : |(F, f)| \le 1, \ \forall f \in W_k\}.$$

Let $E_k = \bigcup\limits_{\alpha>0} (\alpha W_k^0)$. Endow E_k with the norm

$$q_k(F) = \sup_{f \in W_k} |(F, f)|, \ F \in E_k.$$

Note that $G'_M(U) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$. Define in $G'_M(U)$ the topology λ of the inductive limit of spaces E_k. Since $G_M(U)$ is an (M^*)-space then $G_M(U)$ is Montel space (and hence reflexive). But then the strong topology in $G'_M(U)$ coincides with the topology λ [7, p. 699-700].

Now let $S \in E_m, \ m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$|(S, f)| \le q_m(S), \ \forall f \in W_m.$$

Hence,

$$|(S, f)| \le q_m(S) p_m(f), \ f \in G_M(U).$$

From here and (6) we obtain

$$|\hat{S}(z)| \le q_m(S) A e^{b(y)+\omega_{3m}(\|z\|)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^{3m} ,$$

where a constant $A > 0$ doesn't depend on $z \in T_C$. Hence,

$$\|\hat{S}\|_{3m} \le A q_m(S), \ S \in E_m \ (m = 1, 2, \ldots),$$

and, consequently, L is continuous.

Now let us prove that L is bijective.

We first show that L is surjective. Let $F \in H_{b,M}(T_C)$. That is, $F \in H(T_C)$ and for some $c > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|F(z)| \le c e^{b(y)+\omega_m(\|z\|)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^m, \ z \in T_C. \tag{7}$$

Note that for $z = x + iy \in T_C$ $\ d(z) = \Delta_C(y)$. Hence, from (7) we have

$$\int_{T_C} |F(z)|^2 e^{-2(b(y)+\omega_m(\|z\|)+m \ln(1+\frac{1}{d(z)})+(n+1)\ln(1+\|z\|^2))} \, d\lambda_n(z) < \infty. \tag{8}$$

Let $K = \mathbb{R}^n \times C$. Put in theorem R (with the replacement of n with $2n$) $\Omega = \Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = \mathbb{R}^{2n} + iK$. Note that $\Omega = \Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = \mathbb{C}^n \times T_C$. Since K is a convex domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ then $\mathbb{R}^{2n} + iK$ is a domain of holomorphy. As a linear subspace in this theorem consider

$$W = \{(z, \xi) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n} : \quad z_1 = \xi_1, \dots, z_n = \xi_n\}$$

in $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ of complex dimension n. Then

$$\Omega^{'} = \Omega_1^{'} = \Omega_2^{'} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : (z, z) \in \Omega = \mathbb{C}^n \times T_C\} = T_C.$$

In theorem R as ε we take 1 and as φ we take the function

$$\varphi(z, \xi) = 2(b(Im\xi) + \omega_m(\|z\|) + m \ln(1 + \frac{1}{d(\xi)}) + (n+1) \ln(1 + \|(z, \xi)\|^2)),$$

where $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}^n, \xi \in T_C$. Note that $\varphi \in psh(\mathbb{C}^n \times T_C)$ and

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(z) = 2(b(y) + \omega_m(\|z\|) + m \ln(1 + \frac{1}{d(z)}) + (n+1) \ln(1 + 2\|z\|^2)), \ z \in T_C.$$

In view of (8)

$$\int_{T_C} |F(z)|^2 e^{-\widetilde{\varphi}(z)} \, d\lambda_n(z) < \infty.$$

By Roever's theorem there exists a function $\Phi \in H(\mathbb{C}^n \times T_C)$ such that $\Phi(z, z) = F(z)$ for $z \in T_C$ and for some $B > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n \times T_C} \frac{|\Phi(z, \xi)|^2 e^{-\varphi_1(z, \xi)}}{(1 + \|(z, \xi)\|^2)^{3n}} \, d\lambda_{2n}(z, \xi) \le B \int_{T_C} |F(z)|^2 e^{-\widetilde{\varphi}(z)} \, d\lambda_n(z).$$

Here

$$\varphi_1(z, \xi) = \max_{|t_1| \le 1, \dots, |t_n| \le 1} \varphi(z_1 + t_1, \dots, z_n + t_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n).$$

Using (3) and an inequality

$$|\ln(1 + x_2^2) - \ln(1 + x_1^2)| \le |x_2 - x_1|, \quad x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R},$$

we obtain in $\mathbb{C}^n \times T_C$ $\ |\varphi_1(z, \xi) - \varphi(z, \xi)| \le c_0$, where c_0 is some positive constant depending on m. So,

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n \times T_C} \frac{|\Phi(z, \xi)|^2 e^{-\varphi(z, \xi)}}{(1 + \|(z, \xi)\|^2)^{3n}} \, d\lambda_{2n}(z, \xi) \le B e^{c_0} \int_{T_C} |F(z)|^2 e^{-\widetilde{\varphi}(z)} \, d\lambda_n(z).$$

The right side of this inequality denote by B_F. For brevity put

$$h_m(z,\xi) = 2(b(Im\xi) + \omega_m(\|z\|) + m\ln(1+\frac{1}{d(\xi)})),\ (z,\xi) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times T_C.$$

Let us now obtain uniform estimates on Φ using plurisubharmonicity of $|\Phi(z,\xi)|$ in $\mathbb{C}^n \times T_C$. Let $(z,\xi) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times T_C$ and $R = \min(1, \frac{d(\xi)}{4})$. Note that if $(t,u) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times T_C$ belongs to the ball $B_R((z,\xi))$ then taking into account that:

1). for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant A_ε (by lemma 3) such that

$$|b(Imu) - b(Im\xi)| \le \varepsilon\|Imu\| + \varepsilon\|Im\xi\| + A_\varepsilon$$

and, consequently,

$$|b(Imu) - b(Im\xi)| \le 2\varepsilon\|Im\xi\| + A_\varepsilon + \varepsilon;$$

2).

$$|\ln(1+\|(t,u)\|^2) - \ln(1+\|(z,\xi)\|^2)| \le 1;$$

3).

$$\left|\ln\left(1+\frac{1}{d(u)}\right) - \ln\left(1+\frac{1}{d(\xi)}\right)\right| \le \frac{5}{4}.$$

4). by inequality (3)

$$|\omega_m(\|t\|) - \omega_m(\|z\|)| \le K_m,$$

and putting $B_\varepsilon = 2A_\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon + \frac{5m}{2} + 2K_m + 5n + 2$, we obtain for each $\varepsilon > 0$

$$|h_m(t,u) + (5n+2)\ln(1+\|(t,u)\|^2) - h_m(z,\xi) - (5n+2)\ln(1+\|(z,\xi)\|^2)| \le$$

$$\le 2\varepsilon\|Im\xi\| + B_\varepsilon.$$

Furthermore, for plurisubharmonic in $\mathbb{C}^n \times T_C$ function $|\Phi(t,u)|^2$ we have

$$|\Phi(z,\xi)|^2 \le \frac{1}{\nu_{2n}(R)} \int\limits_{B_R((z,\zeta))} |\Phi(t,u)|^2\, d\lambda_{2n}(t,u) \le$$

$$\le \frac{1}{\nu_{2n}(R)} \int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^n \times T_C} |\Phi(t,u)|^2 e^{-(h_m(t,u)+(5n+2)\ln(1+\|(t,u)\|^2))}\, d\lambda_{2n}(t,u) \times$$

$$\times e^{\sup\limits_{(t,u)\in B_R((z,\zeta))} (h_m(t,u)+(5n+2)\ln(1+\|(t,u)\|^2))} \le$$

$$\leq \frac{B_F}{\nu_{2n}(R)} e^{h_m(z,\xi)+(5n+2)\ln(1+\|(z,\xi)\|^2)+2\varepsilon\|Im\xi\|+B_\varepsilon}$$

From here it follows that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a constant $c_1 = c_1(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for $(z, \xi) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times T_C$

$$|\Phi(z,\xi)| \leq c_1 e^{b(Im\xi)+\omega_m(\|z\|)+(m+2n)\ln(1+\frac{1}{d(\xi)})+(5n+2)\ln(1+\|(z,\xi)\|)+\varepsilon\|Im\xi\|}. \qquad (9)$$

Since $\Phi(z, \xi)$ is an entire function of z, then expanding $\Phi(z, \xi)$ in powers of z we have

$$\Phi(z,\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 0} C_\alpha(\xi) z^\alpha, \ \xi \in T_C, \ z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

By the Cauchy formula for arbitrary $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$, $R > 0$

$$C_\alpha(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{|z_1|=R} \cdots \int_{|z_n|=R} \frac{\Phi(z,\xi)}{z_1^{\alpha_1+1} \ldots z_n^{\alpha_n+1}} \, dz_1 \ldots dz_n.$$

From here it follows that $C_\alpha \in H(T_C)$. Using (9) we have for $\xi \in T_C$

$$|C_\alpha(\xi)| \leq \frac{c_1((1+\sqrt{n}R)(1+\|\xi\|))^{5n+2} e^{b(Im\xi)+\varepsilon\|Im\xi\|+\omega_m(\sqrt{n}R)}(1+\frac{1}{d(\xi)})^{m+2n}}{R^{|\alpha|}} \, .$$

Using lemma 2 we find a constant $c_2 = c_2(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for each $R > 0$ and $\xi \in T_C$

$$|C_\alpha(\xi)| \leq c_2 \frac{e^{\omega_{m+5n+2}(\sqrt{n}R)}}{R^{|\alpha|}} e^{b(Im\xi)+\varepsilon\|Im\xi\|} (1+\|\xi\|)^{5n+2} \left(1+\frac{1}{d(\xi)}\right)^{m+2n}.$$

Since

$$\inf_{R>0} \frac{e^{\omega(r)}}{r^k} = \frac{1}{M_k} \ , \ k = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

then for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$, $\xi \in T_C$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$

$$|C_\alpha(\xi)| \leq c_2(\varepsilon) \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon_{m+5n+2}}\right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{e^{b(Im\xi)+\varepsilon\|Im\xi\|}}{M_{|\alpha|}} (1+\|\xi\|)^{5n+2} \left(1+\frac{1}{d(\xi)}\right)^{m+2n}.$$

So, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ $C_\alpha \in H_{b_\varepsilon}(T_C)$ and, consequently, $C_\alpha \in H_b(T_C)$. According to the Corollary 3 for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ $C_\alpha \in V_b(T_C)$. By theorem 1 there exist functionals $S_\alpha \in S^*(U)$ such that $\hat{S_\alpha} = C_\alpha$.

From the previous inequality also it follows that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $\{M_{|\alpha|} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{m+5n+2}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{|\alpha|} C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+}$ is bounded in $V_{b_\varepsilon}(T_C)$. Hence, it is bounded in $H_b(T_C)$. Since by Corollary 3 $H_b(T_C) = V_b(T_C)$, then this set is bounded in

$V_b(T_C)$. In view of topological isomorphism between the spaces $S^*(U)$ and $V_b(T_C)$ the set $\mathcal{A} = \{M_{|\alpha|} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{m+5n+2}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{|\alpha|} S_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n}$ is bounded in $S^*(U)$. Hence, it is weakly bounded. By Schwartz theorem [1] there exist numbers $c_3 > 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|(F, f)| \le c_3 \|\varphi\|_{p,U}, \ F \in \mathcal{A}, \ f \in S(U).$$

So, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, f \in S(U)$

$$|(S_\alpha, f)| \le c_3 \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon_{m+5n+2}}\right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{\|f\|_{p,U}}{M_{|\alpha|}} . \tag{10}$$

Define the functional T on $G_M(U)$ by the rule:

$$(T, f) = \sum_{|\alpha|\ge 0} (S_\alpha, (-i)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha f), \ f \in G_M(U). \tag{11}$$

It is correctly defined. Using (10) we have for all $f \in G_M(U), \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, s \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|(S_\alpha, D^\alpha f)| \le c_3 \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon_{m+5n+2}}\right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{M_{|\alpha|}} \sup_{x\in U, |\beta|\le p} |(D^{\alpha+\beta} f)(x)|(1 + \|x\|)^p \le$$

$$\le c_3 \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon_{m+5n+2}}\right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{M_{|\alpha|}} \sup_{x\in U, |\beta|\le p} \frac{p_s(f) \varepsilon_s^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} M_{|\alpha|+|\beta|} (1 + \|x\|)^p}{(1 + \|x\|)^s} .$$

It is easy to check that

$$M_{k+s} \le H_1^s H_2^{(k+s)s} M_k, \ k, s \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

So for $s \ge p$

$$|(S_\alpha, D^\alpha f)| \le c_3 \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon_{m+5n+2}}\right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{p_s(f)}{M_{|\alpha|}} \sup_{x\in U, |\beta|\le p} \frac{\varepsilon_s^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} H_1^{|\beta|} H_2^{(|\alpha|+|\beta|)|\beta|} M_{|\alpha|}}{(1 + \|x\|)^{s-p}} \le$$

$$\le c_3 \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon_{m+5n+2}}\right)^{|\alpha|} H_1^p p_s(f) \varepsilon_s^{|\alpha|} h_2^{p^2} H_2^{p|\alpha|} = c_3 H_1^p H_2^{p^2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}\varepsilon_s H_2^p}{\varepsilon_{m+5n+2}}\right)^{|\alpha|} p_s(f).$$

Choose s so that $\frac{\sqrt{n}\varepsilon_s H_2^p}{\varepsilon_{m+5n+2}} = q_s < 1$. Then for each $f \in G_M(U), \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$

$$|(S_\alpha, D^\alpha f)| \le c_4 q_s^{|\alpha|} p_s(f),$$

where $c_4 = c_3 H_1^p H_2^{p^2}$. From here it follows that the series in (11) converges and

$$|(T, f)| \le \frac{c_4}{(1 - q)^n} p_s(f), \quad f \in G_M(U).$$

Hence, the linear functional T is correctly defined and continuous. Besides that $\hat{T} = F$. Indeed,

$$\hat{T}(z) = \sum_{|\alpha|\le 0} (S_\alpha, (-i)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha (e^{i<\xi,z>})) = \sum_{|\alpha|\le 0} (S_\alpha, (-i)^{|\alpha|} (iz)^\alpha (e^{i<\xi,z>}))$$

$$= \sum_{|\alpha|\le 0} z^\alpha (S_\alpha, (e^{i<\xi,z>})) = \sum_{|\alpha|\le 0} C_\alpha(z) z^\alpha = \Phi(z,z) = F(z).$$

Thus, L is surjective.

Now let us show that L is injective. Let for $T \in G'_M(U)$ $\hat{T} \equiv 0$. We will show that T is a zero functional. There exist numbers $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$|(T,f)| \le c p_m(f), \ f \in G_M(U).$$

By lemma 4 there exist functionals $T_\alpha \in C'_m(U)$ $(\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+)$ such that

$$(T,f) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+} (T_\alpha, D^\alpha f), \ f \in G_M(U),$$

and

$$|(T_\alpha, g)| \le \frac{c}{\varepsilon_m^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} \widetilde{p}_m(g), \ g \in C_m(U). \tag{12}$$

Hence,

$$\hat{T}(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+} (T_\alpha, (iz)^\alpha e^{i<\xi,z>}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+} i^{|\alpha|} (T_\alpha, e^{i<\xi,z>}) z^\alpha, \ z \in T_C.$$

Let $V_\alpha(z) = i^{|\alpha|}(T_\alpha, e^{i<\xi,z>})$. Obviously, $V_\alpha \in H(T_C)$. Using (12) and lemma 1, we obtain

$$|V_\alpha(z)| \le \frac{\mu_m}{\varepsilon_m^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} (1 + \|z\|)^{2m} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^{3m} e^{b(y)}, \tag{13}$$

where $\mu_m > 0$ is some constant independent of $z = x + iy \in T_C$. Let

$$S(u,z) = \sum_{|\alpha|\ge 0} V_\alpha(z) u^\alpha, \ z \in T_C, u \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Using (13) we obtain

$$|S(u,z)| \le \sum_{|\alpha|\ge 0} \frac{\mu_m \|u\|^{|\alpha|}}{\varepsilon_m^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} (1 + \|z\|)^{2m} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^{3m} e^{b(y)} =$$

$$= \mu_m \left(1+\frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^{3m} e^{b(y)} \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 0} \frac{\|u\|^{|\alpha|}}{\varepsilon_{m+1}^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{m+1}}{\varepsilon_m}\right)^{|\alpha|} \leq$$

$$\leq \mu_m e^{b(y)} \left(1+\frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^{3m} \sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{\|u\|^{|\alpha|}}{\varepsilon_{m+1}^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 0} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{m+1}}{\varepsilon_m}\right)^{|\alpha|} =$$

$$\leq \mu_m e^{b(y)} \left(1+\frac{1}{\Delta_C(y)}\right)^{3m} e^{\omega_{m+1}(\|u\|)} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_m}{\varepsilon_m-\varepsilon_{m+1}}\right)^{n}.$$

Note that

$$S(z,z) = \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 0} V_\alpha(z) z^\alpha = 0, \ \forall z \in T_C.$$

Then by theorem 2 there exist functions $S_1, \ldots, S_n \in H(\mathbb{C}^n \times T_C)$ and a number $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$S(z,\zeta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_j(z,\zeta)(z_j - \zeta_j), \ z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \zeta \in T_C,$$

and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a number $a_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and for $(z,\zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times T_C$

$$|S_j(z,\zeta)| \leq a_\varepsilon \exp(\omega_p(\|z\|) + b(Im\zeta) + \varepsilon\|Im\zeta\| + p\ln(1+\frac{1}{d(\zeta)}) + p\ln(1+\|\zeta\|)).$$

Further, we expand S_j in powers of z:

$$S_j(z,\zeta) = \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 0} S_{j,\alpha}(\zeta) z^\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \ \zeta \in T_C.$$

From the last inequality we have

$$|S_{j,\alpha}(\zeta)| \leq \inf_{R>0} \frac{\max\limits_{|z_1|=R,\ldots,|z_n|=R} |S_j(z,\zeta)|}{R^{|\alpha|}} \leq$$

$$\leq \inf_{R>0} \frac{a_\varepsilon \exp(\omega_p(\sqrt{n}R)) e^{(b(Im\zeta)+\varepsilon\|Im\zeta\|+p\ln(1+\frac{1}{d(\zeta)})+p\ln(1+\|\zeta\|))}}{R^{|\alpha|}} =$$

$$= a_\varepsilon \exp(b(Im\zeta) + \varepsilon\|Im\zeta\| + p\ln\left(1+\frac{1}{d(\zeta)}\right) + p\ln(1+\|\zeta\|)) \inf_{R>0} \frac{e^{\omega(R)}\sqrt{n}^{|\alpha|}}{(R\varepsilon_p)^{|\alpha|}} =$$

$$= a_\varepsilon \exp((b(Im\zeta) + \varepsilon\|Im\zeta\| + p\ln\left(1+\frac{1}{d(\zeta)}\right) + p\ln(1+\|\zeta\|)) \frac{1}{M_{|\alpha|}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon_p}\right)^{|\alpha|}.$$

Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\varepsilon_k\sqrt{n} < \varepsilon_p$. Then for $\zeta \in T_C$

$$|S_{j,\alpha}(\zeta)| \le \frac{a_\varepsilon \exp(b(Im\zeta) + \varepsilon\|Im\zeta\| + p\ln(1 + \frac{1}{d(\zeta)}) + p\ln(1 + \|\zeta\|))}{\varepsilon_k^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}}.$$

Thus, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, j = 1, \dots, n$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ $S_{j,\alpha} \in V_{b_\varepsilon}(T_C)$. According to the corollary 3 of the theorems 1 $S_{j,\alpha} \in V_b(T_C)$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, j = 1, \dots, n$. Since the Fourier-Laplace transform establishes a topological isomorphism between the spaces $S^*(U)$ and $V_b(T_C)$, then there exist functionals $\psi_{j,\alpha} \in S^*(U)$ such that $\hat{\psi}_{j,\alpha} = S_{j,\alpha}$. From the last inequality it follows that the set $\{S_{j,\alpha}\varepsilon_k^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n}$ is bounded in each space $V_{b_\varepsilon}(T_C)$. But then it is bounded in $H_b(T_C)$. Now by Corollary 3 of the theorems 1 this set is bounded in $V_b(T_C)$. Then the set $\Psi = \{\varepsilon_k^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}\psi_{j,\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, j=1,\dots,n}$ is bounded in $S^*(U)$. And then it is weakly bounded in $S^*(U)$. By Schwartz theorem [1] there exist numbers $c_4 > 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|(F, \varphi)| \le c_4 \||\varphi\||_{p,U}, \ F \in \Psi, \ \varphi \in S(U).$$

Thus, $\forall j = 1, \dots, n, \ \ \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$

$$|(\Psi_{j,\alpha}, f)| \le \frac{c_4}{\varepsilon_k^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} \|f\|_{p,U}, \ f \in S(U). \tag{14}$$

For $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with at least one negative component let $\Psi_{j,\alpha}$ be a zero functional from $S^*(U)$ and $S_{j,\alpha}(z) = 0, \ \ \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then

$$S(z, \zeta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_j(z, \zeta)(z_j - \zeta_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{|\alpha| \ge 0} S_{j,\alpha}(\zeta) z^\alpha (z_j - \zeta_j) =$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{|\alpha| \ge 0} S_{j,\alpha}(\zeta) z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_j^{\alpha_j+1} \dots z^{\alpha_n} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{|\alpha| \ge 0} S_{j,\alpha}(\zeta) z^\alpha \zeta_j =$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{|\alpha| \ge 0} (S_{j,(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_j-1,\dots,\alpha_n)}(\zeta) - S_{j,\alpha}(\zeta)\zeta_j) z^\alpha, \ \ z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \ \zeta \in T_C.$$

Since

$$S(z, \zeta) = \sum_{|\alpha| \ge 0} V_\alpha(\zeta) z^\alpha, \ \ \forall \zeta \in T_C, \ z \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

we have $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$

$$V_\alpha(\zeta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (S_{j,(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{j-1},\dots,\alpha_n)}(\zeta) - S_{j,\alpha}(\zeta)\zeta_j).$$

The expression on the right can be represented as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\hat{\Psi}_{j,(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{j-1},\dots,\alpha_n)}(\zeta)+i(\Psi_{j,\alpha},\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_j}(e^{i<\xi,\zeta>}))).$$

That is, the right side is the Fourier-Laplace of a functional acting by the rule

$$f\in S(U)\to\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\Psi_{j,(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{j-1},\dots,\alpha_n)},f)+i(\Psi_{j,\alpha},(\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_j}f)).$$

This means that

$$(T_\alpha,f)=(-i)^{|\alpha|}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(i(\Psi_{j,\alpha},(\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_j}f))+(\Psi_{j,(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{j-1},\dots,\alpha_n)},f)).$$

Thus, for $f\in G_M(U)$

$$(T,f)=\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 0}(T_\alpha,D^\alpha f)=$$

$$=\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 0}(-i)^{|\alpha|}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(i(\Psi_{j,\alpha},(\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_j}D^\alpha f))+(\Psi_{j,(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{j-1},\dots,\alpha_n)},D^\alpha f)),$$

For arbitrary $N\in\mathbb{N}$ and $j=1,\dots,n)$ let us define the sets

$$B_N=\{\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)\in\mathbb{Z}^n:\alpha_1\leq N,\dots,\alpha_n\leq N\},$$

$$R_{N,j}=\{\alpha_1\leq N,\dots,\alpha_j=N,\dots,\alpha_n\leq N,\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n\}$$

and a functional T_N on $G_M(U)$ by the rule

$$(T_N,f)=\sum_{\alpha\in B_N}(-i)^{|\alpha|}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(i(\Psi_{j,\alpha},(\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_j}D^\alpha f)(\xi))+(\Psi_{j,(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{j-1},\dots,\alpha_n)},D^\alpha f)).$$

Then $(T,f)=\lim\limits_{N\to\infty}(T_N,f),\quad f\in G_M(U)$.

From the representation

$$(T_N,f)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\sum_{\alpha\in B_N}((-i)^{|\alpha|}i(\Psi_{j,\alpha},\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_j}D^\alpha f)+$$

$$+\sum_{\beta\in B_N}(-i)^{|\beta|}(\Psi_{j,(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_{j-1},\dots,\beta_n)},D^\beta f)).$$

we obtain that for fixed $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ terms corresponding to the multi-index α with $\alpha_1 \leq N, \ldots, \alpha_j \leq N-1, \ldots, \alpha_n \leq N$ and the terms corresponding to he multi-index $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \ldots, \beta_n) = \alpha_n$ with $\beta_1 = \alpha_1, \ldots, \beta_j = \alpha_j + 1, \ldots, \beta_n = \alpha_n$ mutually vanishes each other. From this we have

$$(T_N, f) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\alpha \in R_{N,j}} (-i)^{|\alpha|} i (\Psi_{j,\alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} D^{\alpha} f), \ f \in G_M(U).$$

Further, taking into account (14), $\forall f \in G_M(U)$

$$|(T_N, f)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\alpha \in R_{N,j}} |(\Psi_{j,\alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} D^{\alpha} f)| \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\alpha \in R_{N,j}} \frac{c_4}{\varepsilon_k^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} \sup_{\xi \in U, |\gamma| \leq p} (|D^{\gamma}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} D^{\alpha} f)(\xi)|(1 + \|\xi\|)^p) =$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\alpha \in R_{N,j}} \frac{c_4}{\varepsilon_k^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} \sup_{\xi \in U, |\gamma| \leq p} (|(D^{(\alpha_1+\gamma_1, \ldots \alpha_j+\gamma_j+1, \ldots, \alpha_n+\gamma_n)} f)(\xi)|(1 + \|\xi\|)^p)).$$

Choose natural $s > k$ so that $q = \frac{\varepsilon_s H_2^{p+1}}{\varepsilon_k} < 1$. Then $\forall f \in G_M(U)$

$$|(T_N, f)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\alpha \in R_{N,j}} \frac{c_4}{\varepsilon_k^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} p_s(f) \sup_{\xi \in U, |\gamma| \leq p} \frac{\varepsilon_s^{|\alpha|+|\gamma|+1} M_{|\alpha|+|\gamma|+1}}{(1 + \|\xi\|)^{s-p}} \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\alpha \in R_{N,j}} \frac{c_4}{\varepsilon_k^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}} p_s(f) \varepsilon_s^{|\alpha|} \sup_{|\gamma| \leq p} H_1^{|\gamma|+1} H_2^{(|\alpha|+|\gamma|+1)(|\gamma|+1)} M_{|\alpha|} \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\alpha \in R_{N,j}} c_4 p_s(f) \left(\frac{\varepsilon_s}{\varepsilon_k} H_2^{p+1} \right)^{|\alpha|} H_1^{p+1} H_2^{(p+1)^2} <$$

$$< c_4 H_1^{p+1} H_2^{(p+1)^2} p_s(f) n q^N (N+1)^{n-1}.$$

From here it follows that $(T_N, f) \to 0$ at $N \to \infty$, $\forall f \in G_M(U)$. Hence $(T, f) = 0$, $\forall f \in G_M(U)$. So, T is a zero functional.

By the open mapping [8], [9] L^{-1} is continuous. Thus, L is a topological isomorphism.

Remark 2. In the situation considered by Roever from his results [2, theorems 2.21.ii, 2.24.ii] it follows that $G_M^*(U)$ is topologically isomorphic to the projective limit of spaces $R_{C_\varepsilon, \varepsilon}$ ($\{C_\varepsilon\}$ is a family of compact subcones in cone C, $\varepsilon > 0$), where $R_{C_\varepsilon, \varepsilon}$ is an inductive limit of spaces

$$R_{C_\varepsilon, \varepsilon}^{(m)} = \{F \in H(T_C) : \|F\|_{C_\varepsilon, \varepsilon}^{(m)} = \sup_{z \in T_{C_1}, \|y\| \geq \varepsilon} \frac{|F(z)|}{e^{b(y) + \omega_m(\|z\|)}} < \infty\} \ , \ m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

References

[1] V.S. Vladimirov, *Generalized functions in mathematical physics*, Nauka, M., 1979.

[2] J.W. de. Roever, *Complex Fourier transformation and analytic functionals with unbounded carriers*, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1977.

[3] I. Kh. Musin, P. V. Fedotova, *A Theorem of Paley-Wiener Type for Ultradistributions*, Mat. Zametki, 85:6 (2009), 894-914.

[4] B.A. Taylor, Analytically uniform spaces of infinitely differentiable functions, *Communications on pure and applied mathematics*, **24**:1 (1971), 39-51.

[5] V.S. Vladimirov, Yu. N. Drozhzhinov, B.I. Zav'yalov, *Multidimensional tauber theorems for generalized functions*, Nauks, M., 1986.

[6] I.Kh. Musin, *Fourier-Laplace transformation of functionals on a weighted space of infinitely smooth functions*, Mat. Sb., 191:10 (2000), 57-86.

[7] R.E. Edwards, *Functional analysis*, Holt, Pineart and Winston, 1965.

[8] V.V. Napalkov, *Convolution equations in multidimensional spaces*, Nauka, M., 1982.

[9] A.P. Robertson and W. Robertson, *Topological vector spaces*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1980.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS WITH COMPUTER CENTER OF UFA SCIENTIFIC CENTER OF RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, UFA, RUSSIA
E-mail address: musin@matem.anrb.ru
E-mail address: polina81@rambler.ru